

BUCHAREST UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMIC STUDIES

PhD School of Accounting



PHD THESIS

Presented and publicly supported by the author:

Cristina S. COSTACHE (căsătorită STROIE)

PhD thesis title:

PROFILUL DE RISC – INDICATOR PENTRU SĂNĂTATEA
FINANCIARĂ ȘI MANAGERIALĂ A COMPANIEI

PhD supervisor: Prof. univ. dr. ADRIANA DUȚESCU

PhD thesis support committee:

- | | |
|---|--|
| Prof.univ.dr. Camelia Iuliana Lungu (președinte) | – Academia de Studii Economice București |
| Prof.univ.dr. Iulia Eugenia Georgescu (referent) | – Universitatea Al. I. Cuza Iași |
| Prof.univ.dr. Adela Deaconu (referent) | – Universitatea Babeș - Bolyai Cluj-Napoca |
| Prof.univ.dr. Vasile Alecsandru Strat (referent) | – Academia de Studii Economice București |
| Prof.univ.dr. Adriana Duțescu (conducător doctorat) | – Academia de Studii Economice București |

Bucharest, Septembrie 2024

THE RISK PROFILE: AN INDICATOR OF - COMPANY FINANCIAL AND MANAGERIAL HEALTH

KEYWORDS:

legal reorganisation, reorganization capacity, The Reorganisation Decision Test, TCR, insolvency procedures, insolvency system, insolvency filtering errors, early warning mechanism, Risk Profile Analysis Model, insolvency practitioners, insolvency professionals, professional judgment

ABSTRACT

This research proposes a different approach to the long-standing dilemma of functional economies: reorganisation or liquidation? Providing a warning mechanism if the financial and management health of companies are compromised remains a key financial concern. The international context marked by the latest recession has generated a repositioning for bankruptcy procedures, seen once as a stigma of the economy, to give companies, if possible, the opportunity to reorganise.

On the other hand, the opportunity to reorganise businesses has created the possibility to keep insolvent companies on-going, leading to high economic costs. Studies on insolvency systems show errors in the companies' filtering system : i.g. the approval of the restructuring plan for bankrupt companies and the approval of the bankruptcy for companies with a possibility of reorganisation.

The research focusses on providing relevant insights to improve corporate management and financial health, based on a qualitative analysis of the characteristics of bankruptcy procedures and the impact factors governing the risk profile model, called the Reorganisation Decision Test (RDT). The results were analysed and the model was improved using multivariate statistics: the Principal Component Analysis (PCA), the Cronbach Alpha test and the Reception Operation Characteristics Analysis (ROC).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	x
1. REORGANISATION PROCEDURES – CURRENT STATE OF RESEARCH.....	1
1.1. THE POSITION OF REORGANISATION PROCEDURES WITHIN THE INSOLVENCY SYSTEM.....	1
1.2. COMPETITIVENESS, EFFICIENCY, AND PERFORMANCE IN THE FIELD OF LEGAL REORGANISATION PROCEEDINGS.....	5
1.3. "MARKET FOR LEMONS" – ADVERSE SELECTION PROBLEMS AND INFORMATION ASYMMETRIES IN INSOLVENCY PROCEDURES.....	10
1.4. RESEARCH LITERATURE IN THE AREA OF REORGANISATION PREDICTION.....	14
1.5. ANALYSIS OF BANKRUPTCY PREDICTION STUDIES AND THE STATUS OF COMPANIES FINANCIAL AND MANAGERIAL DIFFICULTY	21
1.5.1. Analysis of bankruptcy prediction studies.....	21
1.5.2. Analysis of financial and managerial distress.....	25
1.5.3. Prediction models based on quantitative variables	28
1.5.4. Prediction based on qualitative variables.....	30
1.5.5. Romanian bankruptcy prediction studies.....	33
1.6. PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT: A DECISION-MAKING TOOL IN INSOLVENCY PROCEDURES.....	39
1.6.1. Use of the Brunswik lens model in reorganization proceedings.....	40
1.6.2. Studies of the effectiveness of professional judgement in information processing	42
1.7. MAIN FINDINGS IN REORGANISATION PROCEDURES STUDIES	45
2. COMPARATIVE STUDY ON JUDICIAL REORGANISATION PROCEDURES	47
2.1. ESSENTIAL LANDMARKS FROM THE LITERATURE.....	50
2.1.1. Particularities of judicial reorganization procedures.....	53
2.1.2. Highlights of the US reorganization system	54
2.1.3. Highlights of the Reorganisation System in France.....	56
2.1.4. Highlights of the Reorganisation System in Germany.....	58
2.1.5. Highlights of the Reorganisation System in Romania	61
2.2. OBJECTIVE AND HYPOTHESES OF THE RESEARCH	66
2.3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	68
2.4. PRESENTATION OF THE RESEARCH RESULTS	70
2.5. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	75
3. EXPLORATORY STUDY ON RISK PROFILING IN LEGAL REORGANISATION PROCEDURES	80

3.1.	RESEARCH OBJECTIVE AND QUESTIONS	82
3.2.	METHODOLOGY AND DATA COLLECTION	83
3.3.	STUDY RESULTS	86
3.4.	DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	98
4.	RISK PROFILE – INDICATOR OF FINANCIAL AND MANAGERIAL HEALTH OF THE COMPANY	103
4.1.	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	105
4.2.	DEFINING VARIABLES.....	106
4.2.1.	Dependent variables.....	106
4.2.2.	Independent variables	107
4.3.	DEVELOPMENT OF RESEARCH HYPOTHESES AND QUESTIONS	110
4.4.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	115
4.4.1.	The design of a model for analysing the ability of companies to reorganise through insolvency proceedings - TCR	116
4.4.2.	Model validation	120
4.5.	RESULTS.....	122
4.5.1.	Analysis of qualitative variables.....	123
4.5.2.	Analysis of quantitative variables.....	131
4.5.3.	Combined analysis of companies' ability to reorganise based on qualitative and quantitative variables.....	138
4.5.4.	Analysis of the professional reasoning of insolvency practitioners.....	143
4.5.5.	Summary of the results obtained.....	147
4.6.	DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	148
5.	CONCLUSIONS	152
5.1.	OWN CONTRIBUTIONS	152
5.2.	SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS	160
5.3.	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	164
5.4.	FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS	166
	Bibliographic references.....	168
	List of abbreviations and acronyms.....	192
	List of tables.....	194
	List of figures.....	196
	List of annexes.....	198